

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	v
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	vii
ACRONYMS	xxxii
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1 Purpose and Objective.....	1-1
1.2 Site Background and Regulatory History.....	1-5
1.2.1 History of the INEEL	1-5
1.2.2 Regulatory History	1-7
1.3 Overview of WAG 4.....	1-7
1.4 Report Organization	1-7
1.5 References	1-8
2. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF WASTE AREA GROUP 4.....	2-1
2.1 Physiography	2-1
2.2 Meteorology	2-4
2.2.1 Climate	2-4
2.2.2 Local Meteorology	2-4
2.3 Geology	2-5
2.3.1 Regional Geology	2-7
2.3.2 Geology of WAG 4	2-10
2.4 Hydrology	2-17
2.4.1 Surface Water Hydrology.....	2-17
2.4.2 Regional Groundwater Hydrology	2-17
2.4.3 Groundwater Hydrology at WAG 4	2-20
2.4.4 Perched Water at CFA.....	2-22
2.5 Ecology	2-22
2.6 Demography and Land Use	2-22
2.6.1 Demography	2-22

2.6.2	Land Use.....	2-23
2.7	References	2-26
3.	OU 4-13 REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION AND WAG 4 REMOVAL ACTIONS	3-1
3.1	CFA-04 Pond.....	3-1
3.2	CFA-08 Drainfield.....	3-3
3.3	CFA-10 Transformer Yard Oil Spills.....	3-5
3.4	Miscellaneous Sites Non-time Critical Removal Action	3-9
3.4.1	CFA-13 Dry Well (South of CFA-640).....	3-9
3.4.2	CFA-15 Dry Well	3-10
3.4.3	CFA-17 Fire Department Training Area and CFA-47 Chemical Disposal Area	3-10
3.4.4	CFA-42 Tank Farm Pump Station Spills.....	3-11
3.5	Precision and Accuracy	3-11
3.5.1	Overall Precision	3-12
3.5.2	Overall Accuracy.....	3-12
3.5.3	Laboratory Precision and Accuracy	3-13
3.6	Completeness.....	3-13
3.6.1	OU 4-13 CFA-04 and CFA-08	3-13
3.6.2	Non-time Critical Removal Actions (1997)	3-16
3.6.3	Detection Limits	3-16
3.7	Comparability and Representativeness	3-16
3.8	References	3-17
4.	NATURE AND EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION	4-1
4.1	Summaries of Sites Retained on the RI/FS Work Plan	4-1
4.1.1	OU 4-02: CFA-13 Dry Well (South of CFA-640).....	4-2
4.1.2	OU 4-02: CFA-15 Dry Well (CFA-674)	4-4
4.1.3	OU 4-05: CFA-04 Pond (CFA-674)	4-7
4.1.4	OU 4-05: CFA-17 Fire Department Training Area (bermed) and CFA-47 Fire Station Chemical Disposal	4-12
4.1.5	OU 4-06: CFA-06 Lead Shop (Outside Areas)	4-15
4.1.6	OU 4-06: CFA-43 Lead Storage Area	4-17
4.1.7	OU 4-06 CFA-44 Spray Paint Booth Drain (CFA-654).....	4-18
4.1.8	OU 4-07: CFA-07 French Drains (CFA-633)	4-19
4.1.9	OU 4-07: CFA-12 French Drains (2) (CFA-690).....	4-21

4.1.10	OU 4-08: CFA-08 Sewage Plant (CFA-691), Septic Tank (CFA-716), Drainfield and CFA-49 Hot Laundry Drain Pipe.....	4-25
4.1.11	OU 4-09: CFA-10 Transformer Yard Oil Spills.....	4-31
4.1.12	OU 4-09: CFA-26 CFA 760 Pump Station Fuel Spill.....	4-33
4.1.13	OU 4-09: CFA-42 Tank Farm Pump Station Spills	4-36
4.1.14	OU 4-09: CFA-46 Cafeteria Oil Tank Spill (CFA-721).....	4-38
4.1.15	OU 4-11: CFA-05, Motor Pool Pond	4-38
4.1.16	OU 4-13: CFA-51 Dry Well at North End of CFA-640.....	4-41
4.1.17	OU 4-13: CFA-52 Diesel Fuel UST (CFA-730) at Building CFA-613 Bunkhouse	4-43
4.2	CFA Facilities Analysis.....	4-44
4.2.1	Introduction	4-44
4.2.2	Screening of WAG 4 Facilities	4-46
4.2.3	CFA Management Controls.....	4-46
4.3	Nature and Extent of Contamination in Groundwater At WAG 4.....	4-50
4.3.1	Aquifer Monitoring Wells.....	4-50
4.3.2	Conclusion.....	4-74
4.4	References	4-80
5.	REVISIONS TO THE OU 4-13 REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION FEASIBILITY STUDY WORK PLAN OU 4-13 Field Sampling	5-1
5.1	CFA-04 Revisions to the Field Sampling Plan.....	5-1
5.2	CFA-08 Revisions to the Field Sampling Plan.....	5-1
5.3	CFA-10 Revisions to the Field Sampling Plan.....	5-2
5.4	Risk Assessment Deviations from the OU 4-13 RI/FS Work Plan	5-2
5.4.1	Contaminant Screening	5-2
5.4.2	Radionuclide Screening Concentrations	5-3
5.5	Revisions to the WAG 4 Miscellaneous Sites 1997 Non-Time Critical Removal Action. The DARs discussed incorporated changes to the FSP (DOE 1997)	5-4
5.6	References	5-4
6.	HUMAN HEALTH BASELINE RISK ASSESSMENT	6-1
6.1	Baseline Risk Assessment Tasks.....	6-1
6.1.1	Perform Data Evaluation	6-2
6.1.2	Conduct Exposure Assessment	6-2

6.1.3	Conduct Toxicity Assessment	6-3
6.1.4	Risk Characterization	6-3
6.2	Site and Contaminant Screening	6-3
6.2.1	Site Screening Methodology	6-7
6.2.2	Contaminant Screening Methodology	6-9
6.2.3	Data Uncertainties	6-11
6.3	Exposure Assessment	6-13
6.3.1	Identification and Characterization of Exposed Populations	6-13
6.3.2	Evaluation of Exposure Pathways	6-14
6.3.3	Estimation of COPC Concentrations at Points of Exposure	6-15
6.3.4	Estimation of Contaminant Intakes	6-44
6.4	Toxicity Assessment	6-45
6.4.1	Toxicity Assessment for Carcinogenic Effects	6-45
6.4.2	Toxicity Assessment for Noncarcinogenic Effects	6-48
6.4.3	Toxicity Profiles	6-49
6.5	Risk Characterization	6-62
6.5.2	Estimates of Human Health Risk	6-65
6.5.1	Generalized Approach	6-63
6.5.3	Risk Characterization for COPCs without Toxicity Values	6-93
6.6	Uncertainty Analysis	6-94
6.6.1	Data Collection and Evaluation Uncertainties	6-95
6.6.2	Exposure Assessment	6-96
6.6.3	Toxicity Assessment	6-102
6.6.4	Risk Characterization	6-102
6.6.5	Sensitivity Analysis	6-102
6.7	References	6-107
7.	WAG 4 ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT	7-1
7.1	Objectives	7-1
7.1.1	Statutory and Regulatory Basis	7-3
7.2	Problem Formulation	7-4
7.2.1	Overview of WAG 4	7-4
7.2.2	Sites of Concern	7-5
7.2.3	Ecosystem Characterization	7-15
7.2.4	Abiotic Components	7-15

7.2.5	Biotic Components	7-16
7.2.6	Stressor Identification and Characterization	7-27
7.2.7	Pathways of Contaminant Migration and Exposure.....	7-54
7.2.8	Conceptual Site Model	7-61
7.2.9	Development of Assessment Endpoints	7-61
7.2.10	Measurement Endpoint Selection.....	7-61
7.3	Analysis	7-65
7.3.1	Contaminant Fate and Transport	7-65
7.3.2	Determining Exposure.....	7-66
7.3.3	Ecological Effects Assessment.....	7-74
7.3.4	Development of TRVs for Inorganic Contaminants of Potential Concern	7-80
7.3.5	Development of TRVs for Organic Contaminants of Potential Concern	7-89
7.3.6	Identifying Uncertainty Associated with TRVs	7-92
7.4	Risk Characterization	7-93
7.4.1	Risk Estimation	7-93
7.4.2	Uncertainty Association with Hazard Quotients.....	7-94
7.4.3	Results of Hazard Quotient Assessment	7-95
7.4.4	Discussion of Uncertainty	7-107
7.5	Subsequent Screening.....	7-121
7.6	Transition to Sitewide ERA	7-121
7.7	References	7-128
8.	RI/BRA SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	8-1
8.1	Contamination Nature and Extent Summary.....	8-1
8.2	Human Health Risk Evaluation Summary.....	8-1
8.3	Ecological Risk Evaluation Summary.....	8-5
8.4	Conclusions	8-8
8.4.1	OU 4-02: CFA-13 Dry Well.....	8-9
8.4.2	OU 4-02: CFA-15 Dry Well.....	8-9
8.4.3	OU 4-04: CFA-41 Excess Drum Storage (south of CFA-674).....	8-10
8.4.4	OU 4-05: CFA-04 Pond.....	8-10
8.4.5	OU 4-06: CFA-43 Lead Storage Area	8-10
8.4.6	OU 4-07: CFA-12 French Drain (south drain).....	8-10
8.4.7	OU 4-08: CFA-08 Drainfield	8-11
8.4.8	OU 4-09: CFA-10 Transformer Yard Oil Spills.....	8-12
8.4.9	OU 4-11: CFA-05 Motor Pool Pond	8-12

8.4.10	OU 4-12: CFA-02 Landfill II	8-12
8.4.11	OU 4-12: CFA-01 Landfill 1	8-13
8.4.12	Summary.....	8-13
9.	DEVELOPMENT OF REMEDIAL ACTION OBJECTIVES AND GENERAL RESPONSE ACTIONS	9-1
9.1	Site Screening Process.....	9-1
9.2	Assumptions	9-4
9.2.1	General Assumptions	9-4
9.2.2	Assumptions for RAO Development.....	9-4
9.3	Remedial Action Objectives.....	9-5
9.3.1	Contaminants and Sites of Concern	9-6
9.3.2	Media and Materials of Concern.....	9-7
9.3.3	Contaminated Site Dimensions	9-7
9.3.4	Exposure Pathways of Concern.....	9-8
9.3.5	Preliminary Remediation Goals	9-9
9.4	Identification of Alternatives.....	9-10
9.4.1	General Response Actions.....	9-10
9.5	Identification and Screening of Technologies	9-12
9.5.1	No action With Monitoring	9-12
9.5.2	Institutional Controls	9-16
9.5.3	Excavation	9-17
9.5.4	Containment	9-17
9.5.5	Disposal	9-22
9.5.6	Treatment Ex Situ.....	9-24
9.5.7	Treatment In Situ.....	9-29
9.5.8	Summary.....	9-34
9.6	References	9-34
10.	DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVES	10-1
10.1	Alternative 1: No Action with Monitoring.....	10-1
10.2	Alternative 2: Institutional Control	10-1
10.3	Alternatives 3a and 3b: Removal, Treatment, and Onsite Disposal; and Removal, Treatment, and Offsite Disposal.....	10-4
10.3.1	Alternative 3a: Removal and Onsite Treatment and Disposal	10-4
10.3.2	Alternative 3b: Removal, Treatment and Disposal Off-INEEL.....	10-6

10.4	Alternative 4: Containment and Institutional Controls	10-6
10.5	References	10-7
11.	SCREENING OF ALTERNATIVES	11-1
11.1	Alternative 1: No Action With Monitoring.....	11-1
11.1.1	Description	11-1
11.1.2	Evaluation.....	11-2
11.2	Alternative 2: Institutional Control	11-2
11.2.1	Description	11-2
11.2.2	Evaluation.....	11-4
11.3	Alternative 3a: Conventional Excavation/Ex Situ Treatment/ICDF Disposal/Institutional Control	11-4
11.3.1	Description	11-4
11.3.2	Evaluation.....	11-5
11.4	Alternative 3b: Excavation/Treatment and Disposal Offsite/Institutional Controls ...	11-6
11.4.1	Description	11-6
11.4.2	Evaluation.....	11-7
11.5	Alternative 4: Containment and Institutional Control	11-7
11.5.1	Description	11-7
11.5.2	Functional requirements.....	11-8
11.5.3	Protective Cover Foundation.....	11-10
11.5.4	Shielding Requirements	11-10
11.5.5	Evaluation.....	11-11
11.6	Screening of Alternatives Summary	11-11
11.6.1	Alternative 1: No Action With Monitoring.....	11-11
11.6.2	Alternative 2: Institutional control	11-12
11.6.3	Alternatives 3a and 3b: Removal/Treatment/ICDF Disposal and Removal/ Treatment/Off-INEEL Disposal/Institutional Controls.....	11-12
11.6.4	Alternative 4: Containment and Institutional Control	11-12
11.6.5	Alternatives Retained for Further Analysis.....	11-12
11.7	References	11-12
12.	DETAILED ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES	12-1
12.1	Introduction	12-1

12.1.1	Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment	12-1
12.1.2	Compliance with ARARs	12-1
12.1.3	Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence	12-3
12.1.4	Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume through Treatment	12-3
12.1.5	Short-Term Effectiveness	12-3
12.1.6	Implementability	12-3
12.1.7	Cost	12-4
12.1.8	State Acceptance	12-4
12.1.9	Community Acceptance	12-4
12.2	Individual Analysis of Alternatives.....	12-5
12.2.1	Alternative 1: No Action With Monitoring	12-5
12.2.2	Alternative 2: Institutional Control	12-6
12.2.3	Alternative 3(a): Conventional Excavation/On-INEEL Treatment and ICDF Disposal/Institutional Controls.....	12-7
12.2.4	Alternative 3(b): Conventional Excavation/Treatment and Off-INEEL Disposal/Institutional Controls.....	12-10
12.2.5	Alternative 4: Containment with Institutional Control	12-12
12.3	Comparative Analysis	12-16
12.3.1	Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment	12-17
12.3.2	Compliance with ARARs	12-17
12.3.3	Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence	12-24
12.3.4	Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume through Treatment	12-24
12.3.5	Short-Term Effectiveness	12-24
12.3.6	Implementability	12-25
12.3.7	Cost	12-25
12.4	Summary of the Comparative Analysis.....	12-26
12.5	References	12-26

Appendix A—WAG 4 Map

Appendix B—Analytical Data at WAG 4

Appendix C—Contaminant Screening and Summary Statistics Tables

Appendix D—Risk Data Tables

Appendix E—CFA Facilities Analysis Screening Tables

Appendix F—Groundwater Transport Modeling Results

Appendix G—Groundwater Data

Appendix H—WAG 4 WERA Species

Appendix I—Toxicity Reference Values for Each contaminant/Functional Group

Appendix J—Transfer Factors

Appendix K—Dose Calculations and Hazard Quotients for Functional Groups/Sensitive Species

Appendix L—Cost Estimates

Appendix M—EPA/IDHW Comments

FIGURES

1-1. Location INEEL site map showing major facilities.....	1-6
2-1. Physiographic features of the INEEL area.....	2-2
2-2. Index map of the Western Snake River Plain	2-3
2-3. Yellowstone Plateau hotspot track and resulting volcanic fields	2-6
2-4. Block diagram showing the relationship of low shields, major lava tube flows and fissure flows.....	2-8
2-5. Illustration of the axial volcanic zone.....	2-9
2-6. Generalized geologic map of the INEEL area, showing CFA in relation to the surficial distribution of major basalt-lava-flow groups and sedimentary deposits	2-11
2-7. Stratigraphic cross sections in the vicinity of the CFA landfills	2-12
2-8. Map showing surface water features near or on the INEEL.....	2-18
2-9. Groundwater elevation of SRPA	2-19
2-10. Counties adjacent to the INEEL and public transportation routes in the area.....	2-24
2-11. Land ownership distribution in the vicinity of the INEEL and on-site areas open for permit grazing	2-25
3-1. Sample locations at CFA-04 Pond (1995–1997)	3-2
3-2. Sample locations at CFA-04 pond (1997 and 1998).....	3-4
3-3. Sample locations at the Drainfield.....	3-6
3-4. Sample locations at the CFA-08 Sewage Treatment Plant	3-7
3-5. Sample locations at CFA-10 (1998).....	3-8

4-1. OU 4-02: CFA-13 Dry Well (South of CFA-640).....	4-3
4-2. OU 4-02: CFA-13 nature and extent assumptions.....	4-5
4-3. OU 4-02: CFA-15 Dry Well (CFA-674).....	4-6
4-4. CFA-15 assumptions for nature and extent of contamination	4-8
4-5. OU 4-05: CFA-04 Pond	4-9
4-6. OU 4-05: CFA-04 nature and extent assumptions	4-11
4-7. OU 4-05: CFA-17 Fire Department training area (bermed) and CFA-47 Fire Station chemical disposal.....	4-12
4-8. OU 4-05: CFA-17/47 nature and extent assumptions.....	4-16
4-9. OU 4-06: CFA-06 Lead Shop (outside areas).....	4-16
4-10. OU 4-06: CFA-43 Lead Storage Area	4-17
4-11. OU 4-06: CFA-44 Spray Paint Booth Drain (CFA-654)	4-18
4-12. OU 4-07: CFA-07 French Drains E/S (CFA-633).....	4-19
4-13. OU 4-07: CFA-07 nature and extent assumptions.....	4-22
4-14. OU 4-07: CFA-12 French Drains (2) (CFA-690) (south drain only)	4-23
4-15. OU 4-07: CFA-12 nature and extent assumptions.....	4-26
4-16. OU 4-08: CFA-08 Sewage Plant (CFA-691), Septic Tank (CFA-716), Drain Field, and CFA-49 Hot Laundry Drain Pipe.....	4-27
4-17. OU 4-08: CFA-08 Sewage Treatment Plant nature and extent assumptions.....	4-30
4-18. OU 4-08: CFA-08 Drainfield nature and extent assumptions	4-32
4-19. OU 4-09: CFA-10 Transformer Yard Oil Spills	4-33
4-20. OU 4-09: CFA-10 nature and extent assumptions	4-34
4-21. OU 4-09: CFA-26 CFA-760 Pump Station Fuel Spill.....	4-35
4-22. OU 4-09: CFA-42 Tank Farm Pump Station Spills	4-36
4-23. OU 4-09: CFA-42 nature and extent assumptions	4-39
4-24. OU 4-09: CFA-46 Cafeteria Oil Tank Spill (CFA-721).....	4-40

4-25. OU 4-11: CFA-05 Motor Pool Pond.....	4-41
4-26. OU 4-11: CFA-05 nature and extent assumptions.....	4-42
4-27. OU 4-13: CFA-51 Dry Well at North End of CFA-640	4-43
4-28. OU 4-13: CFA-52 Diesel Fuel UST (CFA-730) at Bldg. CFA-613 bunkhouse.....	4-44
4-29. Location of groundwater monitoring wells in the vicinity of CFA	4-52
4-30. October 1996 potentiometric surface of the Snake River plain aquifer near CFA, using 5 ft contour intervals	4-53
4-31. October 1996 potentiometric surface of the Snake River plain aquifer near CFA, using 1 ft contour intervals.	4-54
4-32. 1995–1996 chromium concentrations in the Snake River Plain aquifer near CFA.....	4-60
4-33. 1986 iodine-129 concentrations in the Snake River Plain aquifer near CFA.....	4-61
4-34. 1995 iodine-129 concentrations in the Snake River Plain aquifer near CFA.....	4-62
4-35. 1996 strontium-90 concentrations in the Snake River Plain aquifer near CFA.....	4-66
4-36. 1996 trichloroethene concentrations in the SRPA near CFA	4-68
4-37. Tritium concentrations in the SRPA near CFA	4-71
4-38. 1995–1997 zinc concentrations in the SRPA near CFA.....	4-75
6-1. Conceptual site model for contaminated surface soil sites at CFA	6-4
6-2. Conceptual site model for underground storage tanks and buried waste sites at CFA.....	6-5
6-3. Conceptual site model for liquid discharge sites at CFA	6-6
6-4. Site and contaminant screening methodologies.....	6-8
6-5. Total risk for worker at 0 years.....	6-66
6-6. Total risk for worker at 100 years.....	6-69
6-7. Total hazard indices for worker at 0 years.....	6-72
6-8. Total hazard indices for worker at 100 years.....	6-75
6-9. Total risk for resident at 100 years	6-78
6-10. Total hazard indices for resident at 100 years	6-81

7-1.	A phased approach to OU 10-04 ERA.....	7-2
7-2.	Sites of potential concern, vegetation and soil types in the vicinity of WAG 4.....	7-10
7-3.	Food web for fauna at WAG 4.....	7-20
7-4.	Ecological pathways/exposure model for WAG 4 surface contamination.....	7-57
7-5.	Ecological pathways/exposure model for WAG 4 subsurface storage and disposal sites	7-60
7-6.	WAG 4 ecological conceptual site model.....	7-62
9-1.	Cross-section of the ET-type cover or barrier.	9-18
9-2.	Cross-section of the SL-1-type barrier.....	9-20
12-1.	Criteria for detailed analysis of alternatives	12-2

TABLES

1-1.	Summary status of WAG 4 sites	1-2
2-1.	Physical properties of a shallow sedimentary interbed at CFA Landfills II and III (Stephens and Associates 1993)	2-15
2-2.	Depths of clay in sedimentary interbeds observed in monitoring wells at CFA Landfills II and III	2-16
2-3.	Transmissivity values for wells in the WAG 4 area, based on pumping test evaluations.....	2-21
3-1.	3-14
4-1.	COPCs identified at CFA groundwater wells.....	4-51
4-2.	Monitoring of CFA groundwater wells	4-55
4-3.	Tritium data for wells in the vicinity of CFA	4-70
4-4.	Summary of groundwater contaminants of concern	4-76
5-1.	COPCs identified in the Work Plan that were eliminated in the supplemental contaminant screen and not evaluated in the BRA	5-3
6-1.	Summary of WAG 4 Release Sites and COPCs Considered in the BRA.....	6-12
6-2.	Retained Site Categories	6-16
6-3.	Summary of Current and Future Occupational Exposure Media and Pathways	6-16

6-4.	Summary of Future Residential Exposure Media and Pathways.....	6-16
6-5.	Interbed thickness for wells in the vicinity of CFA.....	6-26
6-6.	GWSCREEN parameters and the values used for transport modeling.....	6-27
6-7.	Modeling details for each site.....	6-28
6-8.	Parameter values for contents of modeled tanks	6-30
6-9.	COPC total masses or activities in soil (sources to groundwater).....	6-31
6-10.	Groundwater concentrations for WAG 4.....	6-33
6-11.	Peak groundwater concentrations for WAG 4.....	6-35
6-12.	Summary of Source Term Uncertainties for the OU 4-13 BRA.....	6-98
6-13.	BRA Human Health Assessment Uncertainty Factors.....	6-103
6-14.	Sensitivity analysis exposure parameter values and sources.....	6-106
7-1.	RARs for the WAG 4 ERA.....	7-3
7-2.	AG 4 OU and site descriptions	7-6
7-3.	AG 4 OUs and sites evaluated in the WAG ERA analysis.....	7-8
7-4.	Vegetation cover class summary for areas in and surrounding WAG 4.....	7-17
7-5.	Threatened and endangered species, special species of concern, formerly Category 2 (C2) species, and sensitive species that may be found on the INEEL. Species in bold are those assessed in the WAG 4 ERA	7-21
7-6.	Habitat rating conventions for WAG 4 sites of concern.....	7-24
7-7.	Summary of WAG 4 sensitive species survey completed on August 20, 1997	7-25
7-8.	Screening of nonradionuclide inorganic contaminants. Bold text indicates that contaminant concentration exceeded EBSL and background.....	7-28
7-9.	Screening of nonradionuclide organic contaminants. Bold text indicates that contaminant concentration exceeded the EBSL	7-36
7-10.	Screening of radionuclide contaminants. Bold text indicates contaminant concentration exceeded background and the EBSL	7-48
7-11.	Site and COPC retention table for the WAG 4 ecological risk assessment.....	7-55

7-12. Summary of WAG 4 exposure media and ingestion route for INEEL functional groups.....	7-59
7-13. Summary of management goals, assessment endpoints and indicators of risk for WAG 4 ERA	7-63
7-14. Summary of WAG 4 Ecological Risk Assessment endpoints	7-64
7-15. WAG 4 species parameters.....	7-67
7-16. PUFs and BAFs for WAG 4 nonradionuclide contaminants (unitless).....	7-73
7-17. AF values and criteria used to develop TRVs for the INEEL	7-78
7-18. Extrapolations required for developing TRVs.....	7-93
7-19. Summary of WAG 4 ERA HQ assessment. HQs reported in order of magnitude	7-97
7-20. Sources and effects of uncertainties in the ecological risk assessment	7-108
7-21. Summary of ecological risk assessment screening steps used at WAG 4 sites	7-110
7-22. Summary of the sites with potential for posing risk to ecological receptors.....	7-118
7-23. Results of WAG 4 ecological contaminant screening against 10x INEEL background concentrations	7-122
8-1. Summary of sites and exposure routes with calculated risks greater than 1E-04.....	8-2
8-2. Summary of sites and exposure routes with calculated risks greater than 1E-06.....	8-3
8-3. Summary of sites and exposure routes with calculated hazard indices greater than 1	8-4
8-4. WAG 4 contaminants of concern.....	8-5
8-5. Summary of the sites with potential for posing risk to ecological receptors.....	8-6
8-6. Summary of WAG 4 release sites with elevated risk levels to human health and ecological receptors.....	8-8
9-1. The WAG 4 human health risk soil release sites of concern retained after BRA screening	9-2
9-2. COCs and remediation dimensions for OU 4-13 sites of concern.....	9-8
9-3. PRGs for OU 4-13 sites	9-9
9-4. Summary of screening of OU 4-13 remedial technologies.....	9-13
10-1. Remedial alternatives for OU 4-13 contaminated soil sites	10-2

11-1. Net present value of capital, operating and maintenance, and total costs for OU 4-13 remedial alternatives	11-3
12-1. Evaluation of compliance with ARARs for the No Action with Monitoring alternative	12-6
12-2. Evaluation of compliance with ARARs for Alternative 2: Institutional Control-for CFA-08 only	12-7
12-3. Evaluation of ARARs and TBC compliance for Alternative 3a: Excavation/On-INEEL Treatment/ ICDF Disposal/Institutional Controls.....	12-8
12-4. Evaluation of ARARs and TBC compliance for Alternative 3b: Excavation/Treatment/ Off-INEEL Disposal/Institutional Controls.....	12-11
12-5. Evaluation of ARARs and TBCs compliance for Alternative 4: Containment and Institutional Controls	12-13
12-6. Comparison of alternatives with RAOs	12-18
12-7. Detailed analysis summary for OU 4-13 sites	12-19
12-8. Relative ranking of OU 4-13 site grouping remedial alternatives with respect to CERCLA evaluation criteria	12-23

ACRONYMS

AF	adjustment factor
ARAR	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement
BAF	bioaccumulation factor
BBS	breeding bird survey
BETX	benezene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BRA	baseline risk assessment
BTEX	benezene, toluene, ethylbenezene, and xylene
BW	body weight
C2	Category 2
CEC	cation exchange capacity
CEL	Chemical Engineering Laboratory
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFA	Central Facilities Area
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CLP	contract lab program
COC	contaminant of concern
COPC	contaminant of potential concern
CRQL	contract required quantitation limit
CSM	conceptual site model
CVZIT	cumulative vadose zone interbed thickness
D&D	decontamination and decommissioning
DA	distribution area
DAR	document action request

DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE-ID	U.S. Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office
EBSL	ecologically based screening levels
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERA	ecological risk assessment
ERIS	environmental restoration information services
ESRP	Eastern Snake River Plain
FFA/CO	Federal Facilities Agreement/Consent Order
FSP	field sampling plan
GIS	geographic information system
HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables
HI	hazard index
HQ	hazard quotient
HWD	hazardous waste determination
ICPP	Idaho Chemical Processing Plant
ICRP	International Committee on Radiological Protection
ICDF	INEEL CERCLA Disposal Facility
IDEMS	Integrated Data Environmental Management System
IDHW	State of Idaho Department of Health and Welfare
INEEL	Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
INTEC	Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
LIMITCO	Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies Company
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect-level
MCL	maximum contaminant level

MCP	management control procedure
MF	modifying factor
NAS	National Academy of Science
NCP	National Contingency Plan
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect-level
NTCRA	non-time critical removal action
OU	operable unit
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyls
PRG	preliminary remediation goals
PUF	plant uptake factor
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
QCE	quantified critical exposure
QCEL	quantified critical exposure level
RAGS	Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund
RBC	risk-based concentration
RESL	Radiological Environmental Sciences Laboratory
RfD	reference dose
RI	remedial investigation
RI/BRA	remedial investigation/baseline risk assessment
RI/FS	remedial investigation and feasibility study
RML	radiation measurements laboratory
RPD	relative percent difference
RTI	Research Triangle Institute
RWMC	Radioactive Waste Management Complex

SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SDGI	Screening and Data Gap Identification
SF	slope factor
SLERA	screening level ecological risk assessment
SRP	Snake River Plain
SRPA	Snake River Plain Aquifer
STP	sewage treatment plant
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound
T/E	threatened and/or endangered
TAN	Test Area North
TCC	typic camborthids–typic calciorhids
TCLP	toxicity characteristic leaching procedure
TIC	tentatively identified compounds
TNT	terphenyls and trinitrotoluene
TPH	total petroleum hydrocarbons
TRA	Test Reactor Area
TRV	toxicity reference value
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TSF	Technical Support Facility
UCL	upper confidence limit
UF	uncertainty factor
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UST	underground storage tank

UTL	upper tolerance limit
UTM	Universal Transverse Meridian
VOC	volatile organic compound
VP	vapor pressure
WAG	Waste Area Group